# Module 3: MEV Ecosystem Players

**Duration:** 50 minutes | **Level:** Beginner | **Author:** Obelisk Core

# **Learning Objectives**

By the end of this module, you will:

- Understand the roles and relationships of key MEV ecosystem participants
- Learn about searchers, validators, builders, and relays
- Explore how MEV profits are distributed across the ecosystem
- Understand the competitive dynamics and incentives

# **MEV Ecosystem Overview**

## **Key Players**

The MEV ecosystem consists of several interconnected participants who work together and compete for value extraction opportunities.

```
MEV Ecosystem Flow:
Searchers → Relays → Builders → Validators → Users
```

## **Value Flow**

- Searchers: Identify opportunities, execute strategies
- Relays: Facilitate private transaction transmission
- Builders: Construct optimal blocks
- · Validators: Finalize blocks and earn rewards
- Users: Experience MEV effects (positive and negative)

# **Searchers**

## **Role Definition**

**Searchers** are individuals or entities that scan the blockchain for MEV opportunities and execute extraction strategies.

# **Types of Searchers**

### 1. Individual Traders

#### **Characteristics:**

- Small-scale operations (<\$100K capital)
- Focus on simple arbitrage opportunities
- Use automated tools and bots
- Limited technical resources

**Example:** DeFi enthusiast running arbitrage bot with \$50K capital

### 2. Professional MEV Firms

#### **Characteristics:**

- Large capital reserves (10M-1B+)
- Sophisticated infrastructure
- Advanced algorithms and AI
- Multiple strategy types

### **Examples:**

- Manifold Finance: Known for flashloan arbitrage
- Paradigm: Institutional-grade MEV extraction
- **0x:** DEX aggregation with MEV protection

### 3. DeFi Protocol Teams

#### **Characteristics:**

- Internal MEV extraction to benefit users
- Provide liquidity with MEV profits
- Protect users from sandwich attacks
- Revenue sharing mechanisms

### **Examples:**

- Uniswap V3 with concentrated liquidity
- 1inch with pathfinding optimization
- Balancer with smart order routing

## **Searcher Capabilities**

## **Technical Requirements**

#### 1. Real-time Monitoring:

- Mempool scanning
- Price feed aggregation
- Liquidation monitoring
- Cross-chain synchronization

#### 2. Execution Infrastructure:

- Low-latency trading systems
- Gas optimization strategies
- MEV-resistant transaction submission
- Risk management systems

### 3. Capital Management:

- Capital allocation across strategies
- Flash loan integration
- Collateral optimization
- Profit/loss tracking

### **Searcher Economics**

#### **Revenue Sources:**

- Arbitrage profits
- Liquidation rewards
- Sandwich attack gains
- Deflationary token benefits

#### **Cost Structure:**

- Infrastructure and computing costs
- Gas fees for failed attempts
- Capital opportunity costs
- Competition from other searchers

# **Validators**

## **Role in MEV**

**Validators** are network participants who propose and finalize blocks, giving them ultimate control over transaction ordering.

## **MEV-Boost Integration**

## **Traditional vs. MEV-Boost Blocks**

## **Traditional Block Building:**

Validator → Selects Transactions → Builds Block → Mines/Proposes

## **MEV-Boost Block Building:**

Builder → Optimizes Block → Submit to Relay → Validator Selects

## **Validator Responsibilities**

1. Block Proposal: Choose best block from available options

2. Transaction Verification: Ensure included transactions are valid

3. Consensus Participation: Work with other validators

4. **MEV Distribution:** Share MEV profits appropriately

### **Validator Economics**

### **Revenue Streams**

1. Block Rewards: Base reward for block production

2. Transaction Fees: Standard gas fees

3. **MEV Profits:** Additional revenue from MEV extraction

4. Tip Payments: Priority fees for faster inclusion

#### **MEV Distribution Models**

#### **Self-built Blocks:**

- Validator keeps all MEV profits
- Highest control but requires infrastructure
- Suitable for large validator operations

## **MEV-Boost Partnerships:**

- Split profits with builders and relays
- Reduced infrastructure requirements
- More predictable revenue streams

## **Example Split:**

- Validator: 90% of MEV profit- Builder: 8% of MEV profit- Relay: 2% of MEV profit

# **Block Builders**

# **Evolution of Block Building**

#### **Pre-MEV-Boost Era**

· Single Builder: Each validator built their own blocks

· Limited Optimization: Basic gas price sorting

MEV Centralization: Large validators dominated MEV

#### **Post-MEV-Boost Era**

- Multiple Builders: Competition for block construction
- Advanced Optimization: MEV-aware block building
- Democratized MEV: More validators can access MEV profits

# **Builder Responsibilities**

#### **Block Construction Process**

- 1. **Transaction Collection:** Gather transactions from mempools
- 2. MEV Analysis: Identify profitable MEV opportunities
- 3. Block Optimization: Maximize total block value
- 4. **Submission:** Send to relays for validator consideration

## **Optimization Strategies**

#### **Transaction Selection:**

```
Standard Selection: Sort by gas price

MEV-Optimized Selection:

— High-value MEV transactions first

— Arbitrage bundle inclusion

— Liquidation transactions

— Remaining gas price sorting
```

#### **Block Value Maximization:**

- Include profitable MEV opportunities
- Balance gas usage vs. total value
- Coordinate transaction bundles
- Minimize failed transactions

## **Leading Builders**

## Flashbots Builder

Market Share: ~30% of all blocks

• Specialization: Flashloan arbitrage and liquidations

Technology: Advanced MEV detection algorithms

Clients: Major searchers and protocols

#### **Eden Builder**

Market Share: ~25% of all blocks

• **Specialization:** High-frequency trading optimization

Technology: Ultra-low latency systems

Network: Partnership with Eden Network

## Builder0x69 (builder0x69.xyz)

Market Share: ~20% of all blocks

Specialization: Balanced MEV strategies

Technology: Open-source builder implementation

· Community: Strong developer focus

## RBuilder (rbuilder.org)

Market Share: ~15% of all blocks

· Specialization: Smart contract optimization

Technology: Advanced smart contract integration

Focus: Long-term protocol relationships

# Relays

# **Purpose and Function**

**Relays** serve as intermediaries that enable private transaction transmission between searchers and block builders.

## **Relay Architecture**

## **Traditional Mempool Issues**

• Public Visibility: All transactions visible before inclusion

Front-Running: Others can copy profitable transactions

Auction Inefficiency: Gas price race to the bottom

Failed Transactions: High rejection rate during competition

## **Private Relay Benefits**

· Confidential Transactions: Transaction content protected

Bundle Guarantees: Searchers know exact inclusion

Reduced Competition: Fewer participants see opportunities

Atomic Execution: All-or-nothing transaction bundles

# **Major Relays**

## Flashbots Relay

• Founded: 2021 by priority.exact

Network Share: ~40% of private transactions

Technology: Advanced encryption and verification

• Partnerships: Major builders and searchers

## **Eden Relay**

• Founded: 2021 by Eden Network

• Network Share: ~30% of private transactions

Technology: Staking-based access control

• Integration: Deep Eden Network ecosystem integration

## **Ultrasec Relay**

• Founded: 2022 by independent developers

• Network Share: ~20% of private transactions

• Technology: Zero-knowledge proof systems

Focus: Maximum privacy and security

# **Aestus Relay**

• Founded: 2023 by new entrants

• Network Share: ~10% of private transactions

• Technology: Modern relay architecture

Innovation: New optimization approaches

# **MEV Protocols**

## **Flashbots Protocol**

Purpose: Democratize access to private transaction submission

## **Key Components**

1. MEV-Boost: Block building marketplace

2. Flashbots Auction: Private transaction relay

- 3. Searcher API: Programmatic transaction submission
- 4. MEV Protection: User-focused MEV mitigation

## Impact on MEV

- Reduced front-running for regular users
- Increased competition among searchers
- Higher validator earnings through MEV sharing
- Development of new MEV strategies

### **Eden Network**

Purpose: Protect users from MEV exploitation while enabling fair value distribution

#### **Mechanisms**

- 1. Transaction Ordering: Priority based on stake rather than gas price
- 2. MEV Protection: Shield users from sandwich attacks
- 3. Value Sharing: Distribute MEV profits to network participants
- 4. Cross-Chain Support: Multi-blockchain MEV protection

## **Eden Network Token (EDEN)**

- Function: Staking for transaction priority
- · Benefits: Reduced MEV impact, priority ordering
- Economics: Value accrual from network usage
- Governance: Community-driven protocol decisions

## **Other MEV Protocols**

#### **MEV-DAO**

- Focus: MEV research and community building
- Projects: Transparent MEV analysis tools
- Education: Public MEV education and resources
- · Advocacy: MEV policy and regulation research

## **MEV Explore**

- Purpose: Real-time MEV transaction tracking
- Data: Public MEV statistics and insights
- Tools: Searcher performance analysis
- · Transparency: MEV ecosystem monitoring

# **Competitive Dynamics**

# **Searcher Competition**

Intensity: Extremely competitive market with hundreds of participants

## **Competition Factors**

1. Speed: Microsecond-level timing advantages

2. Capital: Larger pools can pursue bigger opportunities

3. **Technology:** Advanced algorithms and infrastructure

4. **Strategy:** Unique approaches to opportunity detection

## **Competition Examples**

## **Arbitrage Competition:**

```
Opportunity: ETH price difference between Uniswap and SushiSwap Searchers Competing:

— Searcher A: 1.2s detection time

— Searcher B: 1.8s detection time

— Searcher C: 2.1s detection time

Result: Searcher A wins if transaction included
```

# **Builder Competition**

Market Structure: Oligopoly with 4-6 major players controlling most blocks

## **Competition Strategies**

1. **Technology:** Faster block construction algorithms

2. Partnerships: Exclusive relationships with searchers

3. Optimization: Better MEV opportunity identification

4. Pricing: Competitive fee structures

## **Validator Economics**

Incentives: Maximize total block value including MEV

## **Validation Approaches**

• **Self-built:** Highest control, requires significant infrastructure

• MEV-Boost: Balanced approach with shared revenues

# **Revenue Distribution**

## **Total MEV Value Chain**

```
Net MEV Extraction \rightarrow Distribution to Participants \rightarrow Ecosystem Development
```

# **Typical Distribution (Example: \$1M MEV Day)**

Gross MEV: \$1,000,000
- Arbitrage: \$600,000 (60%)
- Liquidations: \$300,000 (30%)
- Sandwich: \$100,000 (10%)

### **Distribution:**

```
Searchers (Primary Extractors): $700,000 (70%)

Individual searchers: $200,000 (20%)

Professional firms: $400,000 (40%)

Protocol teams: $100,000 (10%)

Builders (Block Optimization): $200,000 (20%)

MEV-Boost infrastructure: $120,000 (12%)

Technology development: $80,000 (8%)

Relays (Transaction Private Transmission): $50,000 (5%)

Network infrastructure: $30,000 (3%)

Security and development: $20,000 (2%)

Validators (Block Finalization): $50,000 (5%)

Block production: $30,000 (3%)

MEV profit sharing: $20,000 (2%)
```

## Value Creation vs. Extraction

#### **Value Creation:**

- Liquidity provision
- Market making

- Risk management
- Protocol innovation

#### **Value Extraction:**

- Arbitrage profits
- Liquidation rewards
- Sandwich attacks
- Information arbitrage

# **Future Ecosystem Evolution**

# **Emerging Trends**

## 1. Cross-Chain MEV

Challenge: Opportunities across multiple blockchains

**Solutions:** 

- Unified transaction monitoring
- Cross-chain arbitrage strategies
- Multi-chain liquidations
- Bridge MEV extraction

## 2. Layer 2 MEV

**Opportunity:** New MEV landscapes on scaling solutions

**Characteristics:** 

- Faster finality reduces MEV opportunities
- Lower gas costs enable different strategies
- New protocol designs may create novel MEV
- Centralized sequencing creates different dynamics

#### 3. AI-Powered MEV

**Technology:** Machine learning for opportunity detection

**Applications:** 

- Pattern recognition in transaction flow
- Predictive modeling for price movements
- Automated strategy optimization
- Risk assessment and management

### 4. MEV Socialization

Concept: Community-owned MEV extraction

**Models:** 

- DAO-controlled MEV strategies

- Public good MEV (gas optimization)
- Revenue sharing with users
- Transparent MEV metrics

# **Regulatory Considerations**

#### **Potential Impacts:**

- Securities regulations for MEV firms
- Market manipulation definitions
- Tax treatment of MEV profits
- International coordination needs

# **Real-World Case Studies**

## Case Study 1: Uniswap V3 MEV

Context: Concentrated liquidity pools create unique MEV opportunities

### **Opportunity:**

- Large LP position updates
- Predictable price impact patterns
- Sandwich attack opportunities

### **Solutions Implemented:**

- Slippage protection mechanisms
- Private transaction submission
- MEV-aware price routing

## **Case Study 2: Flash Loans Evolution**

**Context:** Permissionless borrowing enables capital-efficient MEV

### **Development:**

- Flashloan arbitrage strategies
- Cross-protocol liquidation chains
- Sandwich attack prevention

#### Impact:

- Reduced minimum capital requirements
- Increased competition for small opportunities
- Protocol-level MEV protection measures

## Case Study 3: L2 MEV Landscape

**Context:** Arbitrum and Optimism create new MEV environments

#### **Characteristics:**

- Sequencer-controlled ordering

- Faster finality reduces opportunity window
- Centralized vs. decentralized trade-offs

#### **Lessons:**

- MEV varies significantly across architectures
- Fast finality doesn't eliminate MEV
- New MEV types emerge in L2 environments

# **Interactive Exercise**

# **Ecosystem Role Analysis**

Scenario: You have \$100K capital and strong programming skills

## **Questions:**

- 1. Which role would be most profitable?
- 2. What infrastructure would you need?
- 3. How would you compete with existing players?
- 4. What regulatory considerations apply?

#### **Solution Framework:**

Option 1: Individual Searcher

Pros: High control, can focus on specific strategies

Cons: Requires significant technical expertise

Capital need: \$100K (sufficient for simple arbitrage)

Infrastructure: Monitoring tools, execution bots

Competition: Hundreds of similar searchers

Option 2: Validator Participation

Pros: Control over block building, guaranteed MEV access Cons: Requires significant capital for validator stake

Capital need: <span class="math-inline" style="display: inline;"><math

xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML"

mi><mi>s</mi><mi>c</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi>i</mi><mi

mi><mi>e</mi><mi>n</mi><mi>t</mi><mo stretchy="false">&#x00028;</mo>

mrow></math></span>1M+)

Infrastructure: Node operation, block building Competition: Limited by available validator slots

Option 3: Protocol Integration

Pros: Access to user flow, first-mover advantages

Cons: Requires protocol relationship building

Capital need: \$100K sufficient for integration development

Infrastructure: API integration, user-facing features

Competition: Need to differentiate from existing solutions

Recommendation: Start as searcher focusing on specialized strategies

# **Module Summary**

## **Key Players and Roles**

- **Searchers:** Execute MEV strategies, compete for opportunities
- Validators: Control block production, earn MEV profits
- Builders: Optimize block construction, intermediate value
- Relays: Enable private transaction transmission

## **Competitive Dynamics**

- Extremely competitive searcher market
- Oligopoly among major builders
- Strategic partnerships throughout ecosystem
- Continuous innovation and evolution

## **Economic Incentives**

- · Profit-sharing mechanisms align incentives
- Technology advantages provide competitive edge
- Capital requirements vary significantly
- · Regulatory landscape evolving

## **Next Steps**

- Module 4: Deep dive into specific MEV strategies
- Module 5: Understand market impact and ethics
- Module 6: Analyze real-world MEV transactions

# **Quick Check: Test Your Understanding**

1. What percentage of MEV	profits typically goes to searchers?
-[]30-40%	

- -[]50-60%
- -[]70-80%

## 2. Which player controls the final transaction ordering?

- -[] Searchers
- -[] Builders
- -[] Validators

## 3. What is the primary benefit of private relays?

- -[] Faster transaction processing
- -[] Lower gas costs
- -[] Protection from front-running

## 4. How many major block builders typically dominate the market?

- -[]2-3
- -[]4-6
- -[]10-15

This module is part of the MEV Fundamentals course by ObeliskCore Education. For questions or feedback, contact our support team.